

Light Parsing

- Difficulties with full parsing
- Motivations for Parsing
- Light (or "partial") parsing
- Chunk parsing (a type of light parsing)
 - Introduction
 - Advantages
 - Implementations
- REChunkParser



1

CIS-530

Full Parsing

Goal: build a *complete parse tree* for a sentence.

- Problems with full parsing:
 - Low Accuracy
 - Slow
 - Domain Specific
- These problems are relevant for both symbolic and statistical parsers.



2

CIS-530

Full Parsing: Accuracy

Full parsing gives relatively low accuracy

- Exponential solution space
- Dependence on semantic context
- Dependence on pragmatic context
- Long-range dependencies
- Ambiguity
- Errors propagate



3

CIS-530

Full Parsing: Domain Specificity

Full parsing tends to be domain-specific

- Importance of semantic/lexical context
- Stylistic differences



4

CIS-530

Full Parsing: Efficiency

Full parsing is very processor-intensive and memory-intensive.

- Exponential solution space
- Large relevant context
 - Long-range dependencies
 - Need to process lexical content of each word
- Too slow to use with very large sources of text (e.g., the web).



5

CIS-530

Motivations for Parsing

- Why parse sentences in the first place?
- Parsing is usually an intermediate stage
 - Builds structures that are used by later stages of processing
- Full parsing is a *sufficient* but not *necessary* intermediate stage for many NLP tasks.
- Parsing often provides more information than we need.



6

CIS-530

Light Parsing

Goal: assign a *partial structure* to a sentence.

- Simpler solution space
- Local context
- Less dependence on semantic context
- Non-recursive
- Restricted (local) domain



7

CIS-530

Output from Light Parsing

- What kind of *partial structures* should light parsing construct?
- Different structures useful for different tasks:
 - Partial constituent structure
[_{NP}I] [_{VP}saw [_{NP}a tall man in the park]].
 - Prosodic segments (phi phrases)
[I saw] [a tall man] [in the park].
 - Content word groups
[I] [saw] [a tall man] [in the park].



8

CIS-530

Chunk Parsing

Goal: divide a sentence into a sequence of chunks.

- Chunks are non-overlapping regions of a text
 - [I] saw [a tall man] in [the park].
- Chunks are non-recursive
 - a chunk can not contain other chunks
- Chunks are non-exhaustive
 - not all words are included in chunks



Chunk Parsing Examples

- Noun-phrase chunking:
 - [I] saw [a tall man] in [the park].
- Verb-phrase chunking:
 - The man who [was in the park] [saw me].
- Prosodic chunking:
 - [I saw] [a tall man] [in the park].



Chunks and Constituency

Constituents: [a tall man in [the park]].

Chunks: [a tall man] in [the park].

- Chunks are *not* constituents
 - Constituents are recursive
- Chunks are typically *subsequences* of constituents
 - Chunks do not cross constituent boundaries



Chunk Parsing: Accuracy

Chunk parsing achieves higher accuracy

- Smaller solution space
- Less word-order flexibility *within* chunks than *between* chunks
- Better locality:
 - Fewer long-range dependencies
 - Less context dependence
- No need to resolve ambiguity
- Less error propagation



Chunk Parsing: Domain Specificity

Chunk parsing is less domain specific

- Dependencies on lexical/semantic information tend to occur at levels "higher" than chunks:
 - Attachment
 - Argument selection
 - Movement
- Fewer stylistic differences within chunks



13

CIS-530

Chunk Parsing: Efficiency

Chunk parsing is more efficient

- Smaller solution space
- Relevant context is small and local
- Chunks are non-recursive
- Chunk parsing can be implemented with a finite state machine
 - Fast
 - Low memory requirements
- Chunk parsing can be applied to very large text sources (e.g., the web)



14

CIS-530

Psycholinguistic Motivations

Chunk parsing is psycholinguistically motivated

- Chunks as processing units
 - Humans tend to read texts one chunk at a time
 - Eye-movement tracking studies
- Chunks are phonologically marked
 - Pauses
 - Stress patterns
- Chunking might be a first step in full parsing



15

CIS-530

Chunk Parsing Techniques

- Chunk parsers usually ignore lexical content
- Only need to look at part-of-speech tags
- Techniques for implementing chart parsing
 - Regular expression matching
 - Chinking
 - Transformational regular expressions
 - Finite state transducers



16

CIS-530

Regular Expression Matching

- Define a regular expression that matches the sequences of tags in a chunk
 - A simple noun phrase chunk regexp:


```
<DT>? <JJ>* <NN.??>
```
- Chunk all matching subsequences:


```
the/DT little/JJ cat/NN sat/VBD on/IN the/DT mat/NN
[the/DT little/JJ cat/NN] sat/VBD on/IN [the/DT mat/NN]
```
- If matching subsequences overlap, the first one gets priority
- Regular expressions can be cascaded



Chinking

- A *chink* is a subsequence of the text that is not a chunk.
- Define a regular expression that matches the sequences of tags in a chink
 - A simple chink regexp for finding NP chunks:


```
(<VB.??|<IN>)+
```
- Chunk anything that is *not* a matching subsequence:

```
the/DT little/JJ cat/NN sat/VBD on/IN the/DT mat/NN
[the/DT little/JJ cat/NN] sat/VBD on/IN [the/DT mat/NN]
      k                               k
```



Transformational Regular Exprs

- Define regular-expression transformations that add brackets to a string of tags
 - A transformational regexp for NP chunks:


```
(<DT>? <JJ>* <NN.??>) -> {\1}
```
 - Note: use {} for bracketing because [] has special meaning for regular expressions
- Use the regexp to add brackets to the text:


```
the/DT little/JJ cat/NN sat/VBD on/IN the/DT mat/NN
{the/DT little/JJ cat/NN} sat/VBD on/IN {the/DT mat/NN}
```
- Improper bracketing is an error.



Transformational Regular Exprs (2)

Chinking with transformational regular exprs:

- Put the entire text in one chunk:


```
(<.*?>*) -> {\1}
```
- Then, add brackets that exclude chinks:


```
((<VB.??|<IN>)+) -> }\1{
```
- Cascade these transformations:

```
the/DT little/JJ cat/NN sat/VBD on/IN the/DT mat/NN
{the/DT little/JJ cat/NN sat/VBD on/IN the/DT mat/NN}
{the/DT little/JJ cat/NN} sat/VBD on/IN {the/DT mat/NN}
```



Transformational Regular Exprs (3)

- Transformational regular expressions can remove brackets added by previous stages:

$\{(<VB.>|<IN>)\} \rightarrow \backslash 1$

{the/DT} {little/JJ} {cat/NN} {sat/VBD} {on/IN} {the/DT} {mat/NN}
 {the/DT} {little/JJ} {cat/NN} sat/VBD on/IN {the/DT} {mat/NN}

- Transformational regular expressions can merge two chunks together:

$(<DT>|<JJ>)\{?(=<JJ>|<NN>)\} \rightarrow \backslash 1$

{the/DT} {little/JJ} {cat/NN} sat/VBD on/IN {the/DT} {mat/NN}
 {the/DT little/JJ cat/NN} sat/VBD on/IN {the/DT mat/NN}



Finite State Transducers

- A finite state machine that adds bracketing to a text.
- Efficient
- Other techniques can be implemented using finite state transducers:
 - Matching regular expressions
 - Chunking regular expressions
 - Transformational regular expressions



Evaluating Performance

- Basic measures:

	Target	¬Target
Selected	True positive	False positive
¬Selected	False negative	True negative

- Precision = $\frac{tp}{(tp+fp)}$
 - What proportion of selected items are correct?
- Recall = $\frac{tp}{(tp+fn)}$
 - What proportion of target items are selected?



REChunkParser

- A regular expression-driven chunk parser
- Chunk rules are defined using transformational regular expressions
- Chunk rules can be cascaded

